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Purpose/Objectives: To determine whether a relationship exists between quality of life (QOL) and the sociodemographic characteristics of gender, age, marital status, educational level, occupation, and level of income in patients with cancer in Turkey.

Design: Exploratory study using a convenience sample.

Setting: Five hospitals in the capital city of Turkey.

Sample: 620 patients with cancer (44 inpatients and 576 outpatients).

Methods: The study was carried out using face-to-face interviews. A questionnaire was used as a tool for collecting data on the sociodemographic characteristics of patients, and the Rolls-Royce Quality-of-Life Scale was employed to measure QOL.

Main Research Variables: Gender, age, marital status, educational level, occupation, level of income, and QOL.

Findings: Men, older adults, widowed spouses, patients with lower levels of education, housewives, and those with lower income had lower QOL scores.

Conclusions: Considering the results and giving the groups referred to in the study priority in the planning of patient care would be useful.

Implications for Nursing: In the field of nursing in Turkey, a limited number of studies have examined the relationship between the sociodemographic characteristics of patients with cancer and QOL. The results will help nurses assess patient needs and engage in nursing interventions that are appropriate to the needs.

Patients with cancer are not confronted by limited or individual stressors but by numerous and continuous stress factors. Cancer and treatment for the illness adversely affect all biopsychosocial aspects of patients’ quality of life (QOL). The results of some studies have revealed that failure to detect and solve the problems of patients with cancer caused by prolongation of treatment and lengthened hospital stays increased hospital costs and had a negative effect on patient compliance and QOL (Ibbotson, Maguire, Selby, Priestman, & Wallace, 1994; Keller, 1998; Ozkan, 1995; Thatcher, Hopwood, & Anderson, 1997). More effective methods of treatment, however, allow patients with cancer to live longer, bringing to the forefront the importance of examining their QOL (Güner, 1999; Hanchett, 2001; Harper, 2000; Hughes, 1987; Keller).

Sociodemographic characteristics are some of the factors affecting patients’ QOL. In Turkey, however, limited studies have examined the ways in which cancer affects QOL (Kızılci, 1997; Uzun, Aslan, Selmim, & Koc, 2004). Furthermore, the results of studies conducted in Turkey and in other countries are inconsistent regarding the relationship between sociodemographic variables and QOL (Andrykowski et al., 1997; Ganz, Lee, Sim, Polinsky, & Schag, 1992; Given, Given, & Stommel, 1994; Hann et al., 1997, Kızılci; Uzun et al.). The inconsistency in the results of research concerning the relationship among sociodemographic variables (e.g., gender, age, marital status, educational level, occupation, income level) as well as the limited number of studies carried out in the area in Turkey in which the authors were interested led to the decision to undertake the present study.

Literature Review

Interest in evaluating QOL has increased in recent years. Concern exists now not only for the length of patients’ life span but also for its quality. As the length of survival continues to increase among patients with cancer, QOL becomes one of the most important issues to be addressed (Einhorn, 1994; Glajchen, Blum, & Calder, 1995; John, 2001; Varricchio, 2006). Classical medical evaluation of treatment for cancer encompasses assessment of disease-free periods, response to the tumor, and total survival spans. This type of assessment does not evaluate the effects of cancer and cancer treatment on patients’ daily life and therefore cannot help clinicians determine the needed interventions that will allow patients to maintain or improve QOL (Abbey & Andrews, 1985; Ganz, 1994; Houston & Kendall, 1992). In recent years, however, methods and criteria of assessing the outcome of treatment in patients with cancer have changed. Now, the pervasive view is that a complete assessment of the effectiveness of cancer treatment cannot be made without evaluating the experiences of patients and that QOL is as important as the length of survival (Bush, Haberman, Donaldson, & Sullivan, 1995; Carr, Gibson,...
Quick Facts: Turkey
Geography, history, and political organization: Three percent of the total area lies in southeastern Europe, and the remainder is in southwestern Asia. The total area is 780,580 km², slightly larger than the size of Texas.

Social and cultural features: Turkey has a highly heterogeneous social and cultural structure, with sharp contrasts among population groups. The modern and traditional exist simultaneously within the society. Family ties are strong and influence the formation of values, attitudes, aspirations, and goals.

Economy: Turkey can be classified as a middle-income country. The rate of economic growth has been comparatively high in recent years, and the economy has undergone a radical transformation from an agricultural base to an industrial one, particularly since the 1980s.

Population: Turkey is the most populous country of the Middle East. The population was 72 million in 2005 and is expected to reach 76 million by 2010 and 88 million by 2025. Approximately 35% of the total population live in rural areas. Twenty-six percent of the total population are younger than age 15; only 7% are older than age 65.

Healthcare system priorities and programs: The Ministry of Health is officially responsible for designing and implementing nationwide health policies and delivering healthcare services. The Ministry also regulates prices of medical drugs and controls drug production and the operation of pharmacies. Health institutions that provide medical care and preventive health services include inpatient institutions (hospitals and health centers) and outpatient institutions (health units, health houses, infirmaries, mother and child health centers, and dispensaries). Services provided by these institutions include personal health cards, which are sent to the Ministry monthly together with information on health status. Mean life expectancy in women and men is 74.0 years and 69.1 years, respectively, with an overall mean of 71.5 years.

Education: Formal education includes preschool, primary school, secondary school, and higher education institutions. Eighty-seven percent of the population are literate.

Sample and Setting

The current descriptive study was carried out at five oncology centers in Ankara, Turkey: Gülhane Military Medical Academy, Hacettepe University Oncology Institute, Ankara Demetevler Oncology Hospital, Ahmet Andiçen Oncology Hospital, and Ankara University Ibn-i Sina Hospital. Using a nonrandom convenience sampling technique, inpatients and outpatients presenting at the hospitals from August 1998–February 2001 who agreed to participate in the research were accrued to the study. A total of 620 patients who presented at the hospitals during the study period were willing to participate in the research. The study sample consisted of those 620 patients (44 inpatients and 576 outpatients). Visits to obtain consent were made to all patients; then, questionnaires were given to the patients in the departments in which they were being treated. The researchers used face-to-face interviews to complete the questionnaire for all patients because some may have been illiterate.

Instruments

The first set of questions collected information regarding patients’ gender, age, marital status, educational level, occupation, and level of income. The second set of questions comprised the Rolls-Royce Quality-of-Life Scale developed by Ozyilkan et al. (1995) for patients in Turkey. Half-split reliability and signal effect demonstrated reliability and responsiveness of the tool. Ozyilkan et al. also reported that content and construct validity were r = 0.996 (p < 0.0001). The QOL scale has been used in various cancer populations in Turkey (Isikhan et al., 2001; Kizilci, 1997; Uzun et al., 2004). The scale consists of 42 items with eight subscales that assess general well-being (seven items), physical symptoms and activity (eight items), sleep dysfunction (three items), appetite (two items), sexual dysfunction (four items), cognitive functions (six items), medical interaction (four items), and social participation and work performance (eight items). A global score is calculated by summing the subscale scores. Items on the scale reflect areas that are important to patients with cancer and what patients have said about how the disease affects their lives. Each question is rated on a scale from 1–5. A score of 5 indicates “yes, fits me...
Data Analysis

Variance analysis or Kruskal Wallis variance analysis was used to determine whether a relationship existed among QOL and age, marital status, level of education, and occupation. The Student’s t test and the Mann-Whitney U test were used to explore the relationship among QOL and the characteristics of gender and level of income.

Findings

More than half of the subjects were male, and most were in the 16–44 age group, married, and primary school graduates. The most common occupation was housewife, and most patients responded that their income was adequate (see Table 1).

In the analysis of the relationship between gender and QOL (see Table 2), the finding that mean scores for total QOL were quite low in men compared to women was striking (112.68 versus 156.91, respectively; p < 0.05). Men also scored significantly lower statistically (p < 0.05) compared with women in the areas of general well-being, physical symptoms and activities, sleep, sexual disturbance, cognitive functions, and social relationships and work performance.

Examination of the relationship between age and QOL showed that patients in the group aged 16–44 years had the highest mean total QOL score (133.87), whereas patients in the group aged 65 or older displayed the lowest total QOL score (107.75) as well as the lowest mean in all the subscales. Patients in the 16–44 age group had significantly higher QOL point averages in five subscales (i.e., general well-being, sleep, sexual disturbance, cognitive functions, and social relationships and work performance) than patients in the group aged 45–65 and those aged 65 or older (p < 0.05).

Regarding marital status and QOL, unmarried patients had the highest total QOL point-average (137.74) and widowed spouses displayed the lowest (116.78) total point average. Subscale scores indicated that unmarried patients had significantly higher point totals for general well-being, physical symptoms and activities, sleep, cognitive functions, and social relationships and work performance, whereas married patients’ mean scores were significantly higher on the sexual disturbance subscale (meaning less sexual disturbance) (p < 0.05).

The relationship between level of education and QOL showed that the total point average for illiterate patients (114.62, p < 0.05) was significantly lower than that of high school and university graduates. The mean total QOL scores of high school graduates and university graduates were similar (139.13 versus 137.00, respectively). The lower the level of education, the lower the QOL scores. A comparison in terms of subscales revealed that patients who were high school graduates had received statistically higher points in the sexual disturbance (meaning less sexual disturbance) and social relationships and work performance subscales (p < 0.05) and that patients who were university graduates received the highest points on the physical symptoms and activities, sleep, and perception subscales (p < 0.05). No differences were found in point averages between groups on the medical interaction and appetite subscales (p > 0.05).

Examination of occupation and QOL revealed that white- and blue-collar workers had the highest total QOL point average (136.62, p < 0.05), followed by students (134.49). Housewives had the lowest total QOL point average (118.07). Students had the highest mean scores in four subscales (i.e., general well-being, physical symptoms and activities, sleep, and social relationships and work performance); white- and blue-collar workers had the highest sexual disturbance (meaning less sexual disturbance) subscale scores, and retirees scored highest on the cognitive functions subscale (p < 0.05).

Finally, the total QOL point average of patients who rated their income levels as adequate was 151.02, and patients who were unsatisfied with their incomes scored significantly lower at 117.83 (p < 0.05). With the exception of the appetite subscale, patients who indicated that their incomes were adequate scored significantly higher in all subscales (p < 0.05).

Discussion

The results show that the total QOL mean scores for male patients were significantly lower than for women. Andrykowski et al. (1997) found in their study of patients with cancer, conducted one year after patients had undergone bone marrow transplantation, that male patients had experienced a
greater decrease in QOL compared to women. Although their findings support the outcome of the current research, they are not in agreement with other studies that have been conducted on the subject (Given et al., 1994; Kizilci, 1997). Different physical, psychological, and social characteristics of female and male patients can create differences in their perception of QOL (Andrykowski et al.). In observing female and male roles in Turkey, the researchers found that women more easily assume the role of patient compared to men and that men feel more of a negative impact of cancer on their lives. In the current research, male patients also received significantly lower mean scores compared to women in six subscales (i.e., general well-being, physical symptoms and activities, sleep, sexual disturbance, cognitive functions, and social relationships and work performance).

The incidence of cancer changes according to age, gender, the type of cancer, and other environmental factors (Ilboston et al., 1994; Mirand & Knoop, 1996). In the present study, age was found to affect QOL in that the older the patient, the lower the QOL. This finding is in keeping with the conclusions drawn by Andrykowski et al. (1997). The finding, however, is not consistent with the results of the study by Given et al. (1994), in which QOL increased as patients grew older. On the other hand, Ganz et al. (1992), Kizilci (1997), and Uzun et al. (2004) found that age had no affect on QOL. As can be seen from the various results of research, the relationship between age and QOL is not consistent. According to the literature, older adults are better able to cope with crises as a result of their life experiences, so that when cancer is diagnosed, they are less prone to display negative psychosocial reactions. They may, however, because of their physical condition, be more dependent on others for activities of daily living (Mor, Allen, & Malin, 1994). In Turkey, older adults have very few expectations concerning themselves and society also has very limited expectations of older adults. For this reason, many patients aged 65 years or older generally believe that cancer is the end of the road for them and consequently give up many things in their lives. In many cases, they believe that they no longer have any reason for living. This perspective, therefore, may have adversely affected the psychosocial aspects of QOL in the older age group in the current study.

The finding that mean total QOL was the highest among unmarried patients compared to those who were married or widowed is consistent with the research of Uzun et al. (2004) but is not compatible with the findings of Kizilci (1997). Unmarried patients in Turkey generally live with their families and, for this reason, are able to enlist the family’s support. Also, unmarried patients, because they have fewer responsibilities than those who are married or widowed, are able to create more time for themselves. These factors may have caused a higher QOL rating among unmarried patients. The expectation may have been that
married patients, rather than unmarried ones, would be able to receive more support from their families and therefore would score higher on QOL. However, in Turkey, when the patient is a woman, she usually will not receive the same support and help from her spouse that she would offer herself if she were single and in a similar situation. In general, women are expected to fulfill all of their domestic tasks even during illness and feel pressured to continue to meet their responsibilities. Marriage also carries with it more responsibilities. Married patients generally fulfill their responsibilities on their own, but such responsibilities are taken on by the family with unmarried patients. This may explain why QOL appeared to be lower among married patients in the present study compared to those where patients were unmarried. The fact that widowed patients had the lowest QOL scores also supports this view. The widowed are unable to receive support from their families, like the unmarried, or from their spouses, as in the case of married patients, and must take care of their needs themselves. The finding that married patients had higher ratings for sexual disturbance (i.e., less disturbance) may not be, in itself, a result of the effects of the disease on patients’ sexual life. In Turkey, sexuality is held to be almost exclusively restricted to marriage. Unmarried patients who were asked about sexuality generally responded by saying, “I’m not married,” “I’m a widow,” or, “I don’t have a husband, so I don’t have a sex life.” It was not surprising, then, that unmarried and widowed patients received lower points on the sexual disturbance subscale.

Level of education, occupation, and income also affected QOL, and, as levels of education and income increased, QOL improved. The fact that white- and blue-collar workers, although comprising a low percentage of the study population, and students had higher QOL points in the occupation category may be explained by the higher educational level of these two groups. The results were in keeping with those of Kizilci (1997), Andrykowski et al. (1997), and Uzun et al. (2004), who found that QOL increased as participants’ levels of education rose. This finding may be attributed to the development of better coping mechanisms through education or to material affluence and better access to resources and increased ability to benefit from resources. In addition, patients with higher incomes and better education are better able to find the resources that will help them to conduct their daily activities, thus reducing physical burden and stress. They also have the opportunity to search and find the means that will help them to get well. Furthermore, such patients will be under less pressure to return to their jobs as soon as possible.

Conclusion

The present study investigated sociodemographic factors influencing QOL in inpatients and outpatients with cancer in Turkey. However, in collecting data in connection with these sociodemographic characteristics, the researchers were unable to collect information about the type of medical condition, the stage of the disease, and current treatment. These variables are some of the factors that were affecting patients’ QOL. If these variables had been included in the current study, the results obtained would have been more reliable. Results of the study showed that a statistically significant lower QOL mean existed for men, patients aged 65 years or older, the widowed, those with lower educational levels, housewives, and those with low income compared to other groups. Despite these weaknesses, considering the results and giving the groups referred to in the study priority in the planning of patient care and treatment would be useful.

Implications for Nursing

The mention of cancer; the fear it creates in individuals; anxieties it induces about the future, the disease, the process of treatment; and stress it creates adversely affect QOL in patients more than is seen in any other disease cluster (Hughes, 1987). The research carried out in Turkey on this subject is limited, and results are not consistent with previous findings. Therefore, the use of different tools of assessment for QOL and examining exactly which dimension or dimensions affect the lives of individuals the most have been difficult. The Rolls-Royce QOL Scale was used in this study because it was developed specifically for patients in Turkey. Considering that research on this topic in Turkey is so limited, use of the scale in a study of this nature may render the results more significant.
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